

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,580	10/04/2001	Arnold C. Bilstad	DDR 5455-DIV (1417B P 2598 649	
7590 07/01/2004  Mark J. Buonaiuto, Esq. Assistant General Counsel, Law Department BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC.			EXAMINER	
			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Baxter Parkway, DF3-2E			1744	
Deerfield, IL 60015			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/970,580	BILSTAD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton)	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-33 and 57-65 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 57-65 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 57-65 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed accompose	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/8/2002</u>.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/970,580

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 18-33, drawn to a method of sterile filling, classified in class 422, subclass 22.
- II. Claims 57-65, drawn to an electron beam sterilization apparatus, classified in class 250, subclass 492.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in polymerization curing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Atty. Robert Diehl on 6/23/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I,

Application/Control Number: 09/970,580

Art Unit: 1744

claims 18-33. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 57-65 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of "high" and "large" in this claim, is found to be vague and indefinite because it is unclear as to what would constitute "high" or "large". Clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,580

Art Unit: 1744

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nablo U.S. patent No. 3,780,308.

Nablo teaches method and apparatus for sterile filling of packages with low energy electrons on the order of 50 to 150 keV. Sterile containers are provided to a sterile, isolated area constructed to contain e-beams therein, where a filler spout is maintained in aseptic condition and the package port and filler spout are irradiated during filling with the electrons. An activated gas can also be created in the region utilizing UV-rich gas such as xenon. After sterile filling is complete, the packages are

Art Unit: 1744

sealed and conveyed away. The process is automatic and can be performed repeatedly. See column 5, line 60 through column 6 and the claims. Nablo is silent as to the material for filling the packages, but it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine that this system is applicable to fluids as a filler "spout" is employed and as sterile filling of fluids is particularly well recognized in the art.

## Conclusion

It is noted that Applicant submitted and IDS copying that in parent application 09/294,964, however, that case is currently unavailable to the Examiner, so only those US references cited were considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton) whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Tastrzab (former Thornton)

Primary Éxaminer Art Unit 1744

June 28, 2004